# Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

### DIVISION OF MISAMIS ORIENTAL

October 14, 2019

**DIVISION MEMORANDUM** 

No.  $(\alpha)7$ 

S. 2019

## CONDUCT OF DISTRICT MONITORING, EVALUATION, AND ADJUSTMENT CONFERENCE (District-MEA)

TO: Curriculum Implementation Division Personnel School Governance Operation Division Personnel Public Schools District Supervisors Public School Heads District and School ICT Coordinators This Division

- 1) The Department of Education Misamis Oriental Division, through the School Governance Operation Division (SGOD) and in collaboration with the Curriculum Instruction Division (CID), shall conduct the District Monitoring, Evaluation, and Adjustment (District-MEA) Conference on November 6-7, 2019. The venue of the said activity shall be set by respective District Offices.
- 2) The activity aims to:
  - a) analyze school data on Access, Efficiency, Quality and Governance;
  - b) review the bottlenecks in the implementation status of all Programs, Projects, Activities (PPA) and Non-Government Assisted Projects, if any; and
  - c) discuss school prioritization and strategic direction by using relevant and timely information captured in the data presented.
- 3) Participants in this activity are the Curriculum Implementation Division (CID) Personnel, Schools Governance Operation Division (SGOD) Personnel, Public Schools District Supervisors (PSDS), Secondary & Elementary School Heads, District and School ICT Coordinators.
- 4) The 1st District-MEA Tool Kit, Consolidation Form, Complete Staff Work (CSW) Checklist, District MEA process flow, and Suggested Powerpoint Template are enclosed for your reference. For more information, all concerned may contact Danny A. Asio Senior Education Program Specialist, Edwin Gamorot Division Planning Officer, Gerry Madrid Education Program Specialist or email us on misor.smme@deped.gov.ph.

5) Accommodation, meals, traveling and other incidental expenses of the participants shall be charged against local funds subject to existing accounting and auditing rules and regulations.

6) Immediate and wide dissemination of this Memorandum to all concerned is desired.

RANDOLPH B. TORTOLA
Schools Division Superintendent



SGOD-DAA

Encl: as stated To be indicated in the <u>Perpetual Index</u> under the following subjects:

PROGGRAM DMEA

Enclosure No.	to Division Memo No.	S. 2018
enciosure no.	io Division menio no.	D, ∠U10

## **Suggested PPT Templates**

(1st and 2nd Quarter for SY 19-20 – June to November 2019) for the upcoming District/School MEA

- 1. Title Slide (Intro-less than 1 minute presentation)
  - One (1) slide
    - School Logo
- 2. School Profile (1 to 2 minutes)
  - One (1) slide
  - Data on Learning Facility and Personnel (e.g. No. of T1, T2, T3, MT 1, MT2,
    - -Average class size
    - -No. of School Buildings and/or classrooms,
    - -Ratio: on books, computers, chairs, CR (WinS) to students,
    - -DRRM related)
    - -others
- 3. Dashboard (3 to 4 Minutes)
  - Three (3) slides
    - I. ACCESS (1 slide) i) Gross Enrollment vs. Net Enrollment (Raw Data) using

#### Bar Graph

- ii) School Age in the Community (Raw Data)
- iii) **Overaged Learners by Grade Level (Raw Data)-** (Note: Overaged Learner per Grade Level)
- II. EFFECIENCY (1 slide)
- i) **Presently Enrolled "Retained" Learners** (These are students who are presently enrolled in the school and whose status are retained ( with failing marks) in the previous year(s) )
  (Raw Data) by Grade Level
- ii) **PARDOS/SARDOS (Dropout)** -(These are students who are presently enrolled in the school and are considered as PARDOS/SARDOS for the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Grading Periods) (e.g. basis for PARDOS/SARDOS; absenteeism due to financial status or other issues, family related issues, "consistent leavers", overaged, others) (Raw Data) by Grade Level
- iii) Presently Enrolled "Leavers" (These are students who are presently enrolled in the school and whose status are
   leavers/dropped in the previous year(s))
   (Raw Data) by Grade Level

- III. **QUALITY** (1 slide)i) **Reading Level** (Frustration/Independent/Instructional) may be in a PIE CHART (percentage) and a bar graph for each grade level
  - ii) Learners Academic Performance (Honors) (These are the honor students in the 1<sup>st</sup> grading only) (Raw Data) by Grade Level a BAR GRAPH for each grade level
- Each slide must also contain a 3-5 sentences description/analysis of the data. These "Sentences" may be written/placed in the "Note" area of the slide.
- 4. **Situational Analysis (using Curriculum Support System and SWOT Analysis)** (2 to 3 Minutes)
  - Two (2) slides
  - The slides must contain the results of the situational analysis by presenting the problematic segments which must be prioritized preferably in a problem tree form or SWOT Analysis. Highlighting 3 key challenges and its causes.
- 5. Internal and External Assessment of the School (Characterization w/(o) Graphical Presentation) (1 minute)
  - Two (2) slides
  - The slides must contain the results of the internal and external assessment of the School. This should include trends in the school which may directly or indirectly affect the basic education sector.
- 6. Adjustments, Strategies and Recommendations (1 to 2 Minutes) (see sample "addressing the Gaps")
  - One (1) slide for ACCESS
  - One (1) slide for EFFICIENCY
  - One (1) slide for QUALITY
- The slide should contain the adjustments, Strategies and Recommendation of the PAPs in response to the challenges or gaps presented.

## Addressing the Gaps (Sample Only)

● WHAT HAVE WE DONE? (Sample)	ING THE GAPS  Percentage of Grade 10 completers (1,980 learners) with			
<ol> <li>Strictly monitored the implementation of DO 8, s. 2015 and DO 36, s.2016 by PSDSs and EPSs.</li> </ol>		Academic Excellence Award		
<ol> <li>Oriented newly hired teachers on DO 8, s. 2015 through Teachers Induction Program (TIP).</li> </ol>	28.63%			
WHAT HAVE WE DISCOVERED/OBSERVED? (Sample)				
<ol> <li>A number of teachers (including newly-hired) have difficulty in giving assessment that is aligned to the objectives of the subject matter.</li> </ol>		7.17%	0%	
<ol> <li>No learners obtained "With Highest Honors" of the four (4) quarters of S.Y. 2017 – 2018.</li> </ol>	With Honors	With High Honors	With Highest Honors	Total
MHAT ARE THE PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS WE HAVE? (Sample	[90 - 94]	(95-97)	(98 - 100)	

- 1. Revisited DO 8, s.2015 and DO 36, s.2016 during ManComs and special conferences.
  - 2. Encouraged the schools to give recognition to parents of the honor learners.

**● WHAT ARE THE ASSISTANCE NEEDED FROM THE DIVISION OFFICE? (Sample)** 

1. Policy review on the giving of Academic Excellence Awards to honor learners.
(96-94) With Honors – HONORABLE MENTION (95-97) With High Honors – SALUTATORIAN (98-100) With Highest Honors – VALEDICTORIAN